IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition no. 392 of 2006 (S/B)

Girish Chandra S/o Sri Govind Ballabh,
R/o Village Padli, Khestra Panchayat Betalghat,
Tehsil Kosya Kutoli,
District Nainital. …… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through Secretary,
   Education, Dehradun.
2. District Education officer,
   Nainital.
3. Block Education Officer,
   Betalghat, Tehsil Kosya Kutoli,
   District Nainital. ……. Respondents

None for the petitioner.
Mr. J.P. Joshi, Addl. Chief Standing Counsel for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Petitioner's counsel absent.

Mr. J.P. Joshi, Addl. Chief Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. As there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, we are left with no other option but to dismiss the writ petition for want of prosecution.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Consequently, CLMA No. 3502 of 2006 also stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) 02.04.2007

(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.) 02.04.2007

G